1	STATE OF ILLINOIS					
2	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT					
3	OGLE COUNTY					
4	THE DEODLE OF THE					
5	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,					
6	VS.) NO. 17 CF 168				
7)				
8	MARC MONGAN,) SENTENCING)				
9	Defendant.)					
10	REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS of the hearing					
11	before ASSOCIATE CIRCUIT JUDGE JOHN C. REDINGTON on					
12	JUNE 19, 2018.					
13						
14	APPEARANCES:					
15	DAVE NEAL,					
16	State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor, for the People.					
17	DAVID TESS and RUSSELL CRULL,					
18	Attorneys at Law, for the Defendant.					
19						
20						
21						
22						
23	ANGELA M. MILLER, CSR, RPR, CRR, CRC					
24	Official Court Reporter IL License No. 084-004455					

1		$\underline{I} \underline{N} \underline{C}$	<u>E X</u>		
2	IMPACT STATEMENTS				
3	David Swaziek (Page 13)				
4	Kristy Driscoll (Page 19	9)			
5	Diane Wall (Page 24)				
6	David Daily (Page 27)				
7	Leigh Renee Daily (Page	32)			
8	Melissa Swaziek (Page 41)			
9	Robin Swaziek (Page 46)				
10					
11	DEFENSE WITNESSES	\underline{D}	<u>C</u>	RD	RC
12	Edward Fane	51	57		
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
J	III				

(WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were held in open court, commencing at 9:06 a.m.)

THE COURT: Okay. We'll go on the record.

17 CF 168, People vs. Marc Mongan. The defendant is present before the Court with his attorneys, Mr. Tess and Mr. Crull. The State is represented by Mr. Neal with the Attorney General's Office as special prosecutor.

Ms. Koroll is present for previously named victims in this case.

All right. Before I get started, Ms. Koroll, I have received filed June 15th your motion for restitution, and I'm advised by counsel that there was another motion that was filed regarding who may or may not testify perhaps? I couldn't find that in either the court file or e-filing system. Do you have a copy of that motion for me?

MS. KOROLL: Your Honor, my paralegal is filing it right now. There is actually an amended motion for restitution. There was an error in the original motion. So I have an amended motion for restitution. I do have a motion regarding who will -- who will testify.

THE COURT: Do you have a copy of that?

MS. KOROLL: Yes, she's filing it, sir. She'll be in 1 in just a second. I can go grab one copy from her. 2 THE COURT: All right. I want to address that before 3 4 we get started. I guess I do have it in my folder. MS. KOROLL: May 5 I approach, your Honor? 6 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. 7 MS. KOROLL: I believe counsel already has a copy. 8 This is my motion. 9 THE COURT: All right. So now I have before me --10 11 MS. KOROLL: One more, sir. THE COURT: All right. 17 CF 168, I've got an 12 amended motion for restitution on behalf of crime 13 victims. 14 Counsel, have each of you gotten the amended 15 16 motion? MR. NEAL: I would like one. 17 THE COURT: Do you have a copy for counsel? 18 19 MS. KOROLL: I don't, your Honor. It was emailed and 20 electronically filed. There's just a small change in it. THE COURT: All right. Highlight for me the change 21 22 in the amended motion as opposed to the original motion that was filed June 15th. 23 MR. TESS: Judge, we received a copy at 3:00 o'clock 24

yesterday afternoon. I can --1 2 THE COURT: The amended motion? 3 MR. TESS: Yes. I can give a copy to counsel. THE COURT: You say it's a minor -- what's the --4 Highlight the change for me. 5 MS. KOROLL: There was a statement, your Honor, 6 regarding the State's position on restitution. 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 MS. KOROLL: And that has been corrected. 9 THE COURT: The State -- I did get the State's 10 sentencing recommendations that were filed. 11 included a restitution request. 12 MS. KOROLL: Your Honor, I was handed that as I 13 walked into the courtroom. The family is reviewing it 14 15 right now. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So ultimately my 16 question to you, Ms. Koroll, is going to be: As it 17 relates to the restitution, is the family seeking 18 19 anything above and beyond that which is set forth in the 20 State's sentencing recommendation as it relates to restitution? 21

MS. KOROLL: I will review that recommendation and give you an answer.

22

23

24

THE COURT: I'll give you chance to do that. As to

this other motion, let me read it a second. I've also received today a motion to read victim impact statements at sentencing pursuant to statute.

(Brief pause.)

THE COURT: Okay. I guess let me start out by telling all sides what I'm going to do with regard to the statements and testimony to be heard today.

I have received and reviewed the presentence report by the probation department that we'll talk about in a minute. That presentence report has attached to it letters of victim impact statements prepared by Robin Swaziek, David Swaziek.

MS. KOROLL: Swaziek.

THE COURT: Swaziek, excuse me. Thank you.

(Continuing.) Kristy Driscoll, Melissa Swaziek, Renee Daily, Philip Lukes, Brock Boss. Further, the report referred to other reports that were not included in the report -- or other statements that were not included in the report because they were not -- they didn't fall within the parameters of those which would be attached by the probation department. Those include letters from: Aaron Sturm, S-t-u-r-m, Joy Groehagen, Sherry Bushre, B-u-s-h-r-e, Annie Pruitt, Sally Baker, Ramona Roberts, Miranda Lewis, Marissa Langholth, Diane

Wall, and I was handed today additional statements by David Daily and I guess another one by Sherry Bushre.

I have also been tendered on behalf of the Mr. Mongan statements by Nick Lamb, Michelle Mongan, Darlene Nickoloff, Ronald Keith, Edward Fane, and Daniel Kline.

I have read all of those letters with the exception of the two that I was just handed, and I will certainly review those prior to passing sentence. It is my intention today to proceed as follows:

I will consid- -- First of all, as to each of the statements that I've received, I am going to -- I'm not going to consider any statements made within those statements that contain evidence outside the record. Any statements as to how this accident occurred, what anyone has observed about any party's conduct since the filing of these charges, I'm going to discont- -- I'm not going to consider any of that because it is all evidence outside the record, and I don't intend to try the case at a sentencing hearing.

So I'm not going to pare down -- I'm not going to redact any of the statements. I've read them all.

I'm just going to discontinue those items that I don't think are relevant to this particular purpose.

So I think that should take care of your motion, Ms. Koroll.

Anyone that wants to testify personally regarding their statement, I will allow to do so. They will be limited to the exact recitation of the contents of their victim impact statement. But if anybody wants to get up and make that statement in open court, I'll allow them to do so. If anyone wants to stand on their letter, they can rest assured that that letter was received, reviewed, and will be considered.

MS. KOROLL: If I may, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

MS. KOROLL: As to the two statements that were received late, they were actually provided earlier.

Mr. David Daily is the uncle and the driver of the pontoon. Ms. Sherry Bushre was a passenger on the boat. I've been advised that there are at least I know

Ms. Bushre and maybe another who are not present today due to other scheduling. They are asking that their statements be read. I can do that if the Court will permit me, but they would like them as part of the record. I'm not certain if Mr. Lukes is available.

MR. SWAZIEK: No.

MS. KOROLL: Okay. So it would be Sherry and

Mr. Lukes?

THE COURT: I'm not going to allow the reading of any statements by anybody other than the author.

MS. KOROLL: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: If they're not -- If the person that wrote the statement isn't going to be here, they are going to have to live with the fact that I will read it and I will consider it as it relates to sentencing.

MS. KOROLL: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. I think I've covered -- Oh, no. Then I've got a request for return of property.

MS. KOROLL: That was also my motion, your Honor.

THE COURT: (Viewing document.) I'm going to consider this -- This has been filed today? This is one of the motions that you said you filed today?

MS. KOROLL: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm going to find this to be untimely at this point, Ms. Koroll. I'll take this up 31 days from today.

MS. KOROLL: Thank you.

THE COURT: Insofar as the boat that's being requested to be returned remains as evidence in this case, there is a 30-day appeal period after today's date, and I don't intend to dispose of any evidence prior to

the expiration of that appeal period.

MS. KOROLL: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: You're welcome.

Okay. Having said all of that, on April 19th of 2018 the defendant entered an open plea to Count VI of the information that was filed on October 4th of 2017. Count VI alleges that on or about June 24th of 2016 the defendant did while acting in a reckless manner cause great bodily harm to Megan Wells in that he operated a watercraft, a 2002 DuraCraft, at approximately 3400 North River Road on the Rock River in the city of Oregon, Ogle County, Illinois, without giving the required right-of-way to another watercraft at a speed which was greater than was reasonable and proper with regard to the existing boating conditions and the safety of persons properly upon the waterway causing his watercraft to strike the person of Megan Wells, thereby causing the death of Megan Wells. That offense is a Class 4 felony.

Mr. Neal, on behalf of the State, would you state for the record your understanding as to the minimum and maximum penalties associated with this offense.

MR. NEAL: Yes, your Honor. If it pleases the Court, this defendant can be placed in the Department of Corrections for a period of not less than one year, not

more than three years. That would be the term at sentence. However, your Honor, this is a case that has a presumption of probation. Should the Court find that there is not enough evidence to overcome that presumption of probation, this defendant could be then placed upon probation for a period not to exceed 30 months with multiple conditions that are both statutory and discretionary by the Court.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Tess, do you agree that that's the minimum and maximum penalty range for today's purposes?

MR. TESS: We do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Mongan, do you understand that that's the minimum and maximum sentences that could be imposed upon you today?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I have, as I said earlier, received and reviewed the presentence investigation that was prepared by the probation department that was filed with the circuit clerk on June 8th of 2018. I have identified for the record the attachments that I have reviewed as it relates to impact statements.

Mr. Tess, have you received that report and all of its attachments?

J

MR. TESS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Neal, have you received the report and all of the attachments?

MR. NEAL: I have, your Honor.

THE COURT: Other than items that can be corrected through testimony, Mr. Tess, any omissions, errors, or other corrections that you believe need to be made to that report on behalf of Mr. Mongan?

MR. TESS: No, your Honor. The only thing, when the Court indicated the reports of the letters, we did not receive any letters other than that which were attached to the presentence investigation.

THE COURT: Neither did the State. I'm the only one that's reviewed those. And I presume Mr. Jones has, but that's who provided them to me, and that was done at my request.

And Mr. Neal, any additions, corrections, or other clarifications that you believe need to be made to the report?

MR. NEAL: There are none, your Honor.

THE COURT: Does the State have any evidence to present in aggravation?

MR. NEAL: No evidence outside of the presentence investigation, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Koroll, you had some 1 individuals that wanted to come forward and present their 2 3 statements in person; is that true? 4 MS. KOROLL: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Who would you like to call first? 5 I'd like to call Mr. David Swaziek. MS. KOROLL: 6 THE COURT: Mr. Swaziek, step forward, please. 7 Mr. Swaziek, take the witness stand, please. Sir, could 8 I have you state your full name and spell your last name 9 for the record. 10 MR. SWAZIEK: David Swaziek S-w-a-z-i-e-k. 11 THE COURT: All right. Sir, state your relationship 12 to the victim Megan Wells, please. 13 I'm Megan's father. MR. SWAZIEK: 14 15 THE COURT: All right. And you tendered a statement 16 to the probation department as it relates to this -- the impact of this matter upon yourself and your family? 17 MR. SWAZIEK: Yes, sir. 18 THE COURT: And you'd like to read that statement 19 20 today; is that right? MR. SWAZIEK: Yes, sir. 21 22 THE COURT: You may. IMPACT STATEMENT BY DAVID SWAZIEK 23 Megan Marie Swaziek Wells, she was born 24 MR. SWAZIEK:

on July 3, 1984, and killed by Marc Mongan on June 24, 2016. Megan was 31 years old with three beautiful kids and had the right to live a full and beautiful life. The world always was and would have continued to be a better place with Megan in it.

This terrible nightmare began the second that the pontoon boat struck and killed our Megan. If only there were other decisions and actions made that day, we would not have been -- as parents been forced to join an unwanted club where parents bury their children due to irresponsible actions by another person.

I will never be able to find the words that will fully explain the emotional, mental, and physical pain and sorrow associated with losing one of your precious daughters in such a senseless and brutal way. Everything will forever be different in the hearts and minds of all those who love, miss, and continue to grieve for Megan each and every day. Because of the decisions and actions taken that night, we will never have the chance to give Megan one last hug or say love you or goodbye. To this day we are devastated and brokenhearted that we will never see Megan again.

It's hard to comprehend any greater crime than to take a human life. The decisions and actions had an

individual play judge, jury, and executioner of Megan and in one split second devastated and negatively impacted so many lives and changed everything for so many people.

You know, the difficult -- most difficult consideration you always ask yourself is why? Why? Why the pontoon boat? Why Megan?

As a parent, we all do our best to raise responsible children, only to have an irresponsible adult take their life in a split second. She did not deserve to be killed in such a brutal way. The sentence for Megan was her life, and any future impact she would have had on her three small children, family, and friends. It is extremely heartbreaking and disturbing to those who loved Megan and how the process seems to have devalued her life and disrespected her.

We have lost so much when we lost Megan. We lost her sweetness, her laughter, her time with us, and her children. Each and every day has become a struggle to stay above the depression and grief that slaps us in the face each morning as the reality of her absence continues to hit us. Every event, every holiday, every family gathering, is marred by a huge painful hole that will never be filled.

Our younger daughter got married this last

weekend, and Megan would have been her maid of honor.

The pain of her absence at the wedding was unbearable.

I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Don't be sorry.

MR. SWAZIEK: As parents, we should have had the joy of giving our daughter to a great man, but we could not fully celebrate like we should have been able to due to the loss of our daughter that you took away.

Megan was loved by so many, and the following indicates the impact she had on so many lives. There was 675 people that attended her wake and funeral, standing-room only. 519 requests to join the Justice For Megan site. 1,322 signed up for the Stand Up For Megan petition. And the list goes on and on. Each and every day more people are contacting us and expressing their love and support and prayers regarding this criminal case.

One person on this earth is guilty of taking Megan from us. And as a father, I am looking at that person right now. You have taken from me forever the opportunity to hug Megan and share her life and laughter. Megan has no voice today. But I wanted to provide a few statements in the impact that you have made.

You took from Megan her entire future. You took

from Megan her dreams and future successes. You took from Megan the opportunity to laugh and feel the sun and the wind and the rain. You took from Megan the opportunity to love another and be loved. You took from Megan the opportunity to be the most unbelievably wonderful mother the world could imagine. You took from Megan the love of her family. You took from Megan the joy of watching her kids Ethan, Kaeden, and Robbie Marie, grow up to be fine, young people. You took from Megan the opportunity to share the rest of her life with Melissa, her sister and her best friend and other half of her soul.

You took from Megan the love of her parents.

You took from Megan the love of her father who loved and respected and respect for her was deeper than she could have ever known. No amount of anger, tears and grief will alter what has happened, the heartbreaking fact that Megan is gone forever. There are no words that will ever bring Megan back.

Megan paid the ultimate price, and you are the one person on this planet that took her life in such an irresponsible way, in such a horrific accident. Megan's blood will forever be on your hands. Every time you look in the mirror you will see a convicted felon and a person

who took the life of another human being.

Of the Ten Commandments, Number 5 is "Thou Shalt Not Kill," and you have broken this commandment. And for those that believe there is another judgment day when you will have to stand before your maker and account for your actions that evening. And those consequences will be for eternity.

As a father I promise Megan to continue the fight against those who committed crimes against her and our family. The Justice For Megan journey continues so that she can truly rest in peace. We love you, Megan. Respectfully, David Swaziek plus her three small children, family, friends, and all those that love, miss, and grieve for her each and every day.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

Ms. Koroll?

MS. KOROLL: Yes, your Honor. Kristy Driscoll, Megan's sister.

THE COURT: Ma'am, step forward, please. You can have a seat in the witness stand, please. You good?

MS. DRISCOLL: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. Would you state your full name and spell your last name for us, please.

MS. DRISCOLL: Kristy Driscoll, D-r-i-s-c-o-l-l.

THE COURT: Ms. Driscoll, you've tendered a written statement to the probation department as an impact statement; is that correct?

MS. DRISCOLL: Yes.

THE COURT: And you'd like to read that today?

MS. DRISCOLL: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. You may.

IMPACT STATEMENT BY KRISTY DRISCOLL

MS. DRISCOLL: All my life I've heard time heals all wounds. It will get easier as times goes on when bad things happen. These cliches have been true for breakups, other hard times in life. What they don't tell you, though, is that there are things that never can be healed by time or anything else.

I learned this lesson on June 24, 2016, when my sister was ripped away from all of us with no warning. There was no time to say goodbye. No last I love you. Just gone. When you lose a piece of who you are, there is nothing on earth that can make it easier or less painful. In fact, as times goes on, the pain grows stronger. Nothing can ever be the same. Special events and holidays are harder. You try to stay strong and act happy so others can enjoy the day, but there's a huge

piece missing.

My son graduated in May after Megan was taken. It should have been one of the happiest days of my life. Even though I was over the moon proud of my son, it was so hard. Megan adored my son and would have loved to see him graduate high school. She would have had some funny, sarcastic advice for him that he would have taken with him his whole life.

There isn't anything that would have kept Megan from that ceremony that day. These are moments we are robbed of. This is such a huge, happy event that was burdened with grief. There will be so many more to come too. We had so many family traditions that are not fun anymore. They're just sad. We are missing Megan. We are missing her special spin she put on every one of them.

Megan wasn't just a woman that was killed on a boat in Oregon that day that people who don't know her refer to her as. She was a daughter, a sister, an aunt, a friend to many, a wife, but most importantly a mother. She had the most contagious smile and awesome sense of humor. It's easy for people who don't know her to chalk this up as a sad accident, but it's not just a sad accident.

The term accident implies it was unpreventable. That is not the case here. This tragedy was preventable. I still live with the horrific sounds of three little kids sobbing when they were told their mommy was never coming home in my head. That is a sound that is unexplainable.

Trying to go on without her even now is one of the most painful things we have ever had to go through. My children are not the same. Her children are not the same. Megan had special nicknames for each one of my kids. She had a close relationship with all of them. She was very involved in their lives. I've had to try to mourn her and my relationship while trying to help my kids through this nightmare that will never end.

June 24th is forever known to my family as the worst day ever. That day also taught me the true meaning of the ripple effect. When a person can go about their day and make poor choices that results in a tragedy, these choices affect so many more than just their life.

Megan's children were by two fathers. She had her boys with a long-term relationship before she found her husband and had her daughter. Those kids were so young, and that day their whole lives were uprooted. My sister was the glue that held the three of them together.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

They lost their mommy and they ultimately split the The boys are with their dad and the daughter is with their father. They no longer live together.

They will go to proms, graduate high school, get married,

have their own kids, all without their mother there.

This is not fair. These kids should not be without their mother. She loved them dearly and would have made the decision to not be there for them -- she would never have made the decision to not be there for She will not be there for them because a person made poor choices on June 24th that took her from all of us.

As I stated above, there is nothing on earth that can make the tortuous pain go away. However, there can be justice. When Megan's story is told to her children or when they're really missing her, knowing that the person that took her is being held accountable helps us get to the next minute. Some days getting to the next minute is all we can do. And in those days if someone is not held accountable and required to pay the consequences for their actions, the pain is more than unbearable.

Their children deserve to know that the man had to pay consequences for robbing them of all the big and little moments of their mother's. The fact -- The facts

are if better choices were made that day, she would be 1 2 with us. She wasn't ill. She didn't have to be taken. 3 She was in the wrong place at the wrong time that came 4 along -- that he came along speeding down the river after drinking and driving recklessly. The only way for 5 everyone that loved her to have any closure is for him to 6 pay consequences for her death. Kristy Driscoll. 7 THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You may step down. 8 Ms. Koroll? 9 MS. KOROLL: Yes, your Honor. Megan's aunt, 10 11

Ms. Diane Wall.

THE COURT: Ma'am, step forward, please.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. KOROLL: Your Honor, I'm going to ask that Mr. Brock Boss be called. I need to get a copy of her statement to her.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Boss, step forward, please.

MS. KOROLL: Oh, I'm sorry. Looks like counsel provided her with one.

THE COURT: All right. Ma'am, would you take the witness stand, please. State your name and spell your last name, please.

MS. WALLS: Diane Wall, W-a-1-1.

THE COURT: All right. Ma'am, you wrote and tendered

a statement to the probation department in this matter; is that true?

MS. WALL: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: All right. Hold on one second here. I'm having a hard time -- Oh, there we go. I've got it. And you wish to read that statement today?

MS. WALL: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. For the record, folks, this is one of the extras that I reviewed that you didn't get. All right. So you may read your statement, please.

IMPACT STATEMENT BY DIANE WALL

MS. WALL: Megan was my niece. Her and my daughter were only three months apart, so my sister Robin Swaziek and I shared our pregnancies. As they grew and became young women, both Megan and my daughter shared two of their pregnancies. I remember as Megan became pregnant for the third time she chased my daughter around one afternoon trying to make her catch it so that they would be pregnant together again.

That was Megan. Always joking and making others laugh. She had the gift of making everyone she knew feel as though she and they had a special bond, just the two of them. And it was no act. That's just the way she was with everyone. She made me feel so special over

something so small as potato salad. She loved my potato salad. It was nothing special, but she always asked for it and made me feel as though I was the world's best -- it was the world's best. She just had a way about her to make you feel special.

There has been a hole left in our family that can never be filled. As I look at her kids, my heart breaks for them to think that they will have to grow up without the mothering that only Megan could have given them. She had a special and different bond with each of her children.

As I watch her mother and father trying to go on without her, I weep for their pain. The loss to her sisters is unspeakable. Their sister has to get married without her by her side, which is where she would have been. Only God will get you through that day that should be filled with only joy and not unbearable pain as we will all miss the part Megan would have played on that day. Her best -- would have played on that special day watching her sister and best friend. All of us aunts, cousins, grandmothers, and friends deal with our grief as best we can.

(Unintelligible) that life is so precious and that it can be taken in a second that I have become

overprotective and scared for all of my loved ones, especially my daughter that shared pregnancies -- that I shared pregnancies with Robin. I look at her and think that could have been her. And as I watch her with her children and know Megan will never be there with her children again, I just want to put her in a protective bubble.

I'm back to asking her to text me when she gets home or whenever she is going as I did when she was a teenager because I'm so scared of something happening to her. The impact of losing Megan is life-changing for everyone whose lives she touched will never be the same.

I hope the Court will see fit to give Marc Mongan the harshest penalty possible for the life he has taken and all the lives that have forever been changed. Thank you for letting me share my thoughts and grief. Diana Wall, Megan's aunt.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, ma'am. You may step down.

MS. KOROLL: Yes, your Honor. Bystander Brock Boss. Oh, he's not here? Megan's uncle, David Daily.

THE COURT: Mr. Daily, step forward, please.

That would be the statement that I just got today, Ms. Koroll?

MS. KOROLL: Yes, your Honor. We tendered it earlier but ...

THE COURT: That's fine.

Mr. Daily, step forward and take the witness stand, please. All right. Sir, would you state your name and spell your last name for the record.

MR. DAILY: David Dean Daily, D-a-i-l-y.

THE COURT: All right. And you prepared an impact statement in this case and tendered that to the probation department; is that right?

MR. DAILY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Do you wish to read that today?

MR. DAILY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You may.

IMPACT STATEMENT BY DAVID DAILY

MR. DAILY: Your Honor and the Court, my wife has explained to me what an impact statement is and how I should put down my feelings. I don't even know how you would go about saying that or putting it in words.

That one day has destroyed everything. What that man did has ruined my life, my marriage, my boat, killed my niece. He took away the person who always made me smile. He took away my happiness.

1 w w 3 my

I spent years trying to build a life, a loving wife, a nice boat, a spot on the river, a place to have my family visit. No one gave me those things. I worked for them. I had goals of where I wanted to go from there, and in one instant it was all gone.

How are you supposed to explain how eight seconds changed everything you've worked for and ruined it? When we saw that boat coming towards us and we couldn't get his attention or make eye contact with him, I was scared as hell. I can't even remember being that scared in my life.

I turned hard to the right and gunned the boat. It was my only chance. I thought for sure we were all going to die. Then there was a huge explosion and a crash sound. The next thing I knew, I was on the floor kind of pushed up between the seat and counsel and had a table and other debris covering me. I felt like, What just happened? Did this just happen?

I stood up and looked to the front of the boat. I saw Phil and Sherry were okay. It was probably only a second, but I seemed -- it seemed like forever. I couldn't look towards the back of the boat. Then I felt my dog's nose against my leg, and I looked down at him, and he looked up at me, back at the whole back of the

boat was gone. Either in a pile or completely gone. I thought I was going to throw up. I couldn't see Megan anywhere. I started pulling up the boat pieces and looking for her. When we couldn't see her, we tried looking in the water. I just kept hoping she was just in the water but okay.

We still didn't see her, but my bimini top was in the water, so I pulled that up so I could get the boat to go and quit going in circles. We were all hollering her name. I thought I saw a bucket or something in the water that was mine, but it turned out to be Megan. At the same time our friends on another boat saw her and dove in to get her. I saw her get pulled up on the boat. I saw them start CPR. The whole time I just kept thinking she was going to be okay like in the movies. I saw her arm was wrong, hurt bad, but I kept hoping she was okay. I don't know what I felt. I just couldn't believe this had happened. I was angry at the man for hitting us, for not looking out.

By the time I finally got back to shore, it was like a bad dream. I talked to the police and then started throwing up. It was horrible. It was a really bad nightmare. I felt like I was -- there was screaming in my head.

It happens all over when I pass another car on the road head-on or another boat on the river. I think they're going to hit us. I know it's not right, but the fear just keeps getting more and more. I don't like driving my boat that much anymore. I don't have as much fun at the campground. Instead of feeling better, I'm just getting more scared. It's worse.

I watch the strain it's put on my marriage. I see the look in my wife's eyes when she wishes this would stop, but I can't make it stop. I can't stand to see my sister and brother-in-law hurt when I see them. I don't know what to say to them. I feel like everyone thinks it was my fault, but I can't find any way that I could have done anything any different.

I feel like I can't make decisions anymore, like I need to always ask someone else before I make them. I can make it through the day at work, but when I get home, I just want to not think. I just want it to be like it was before. I just want to be me again. I want Megan back again. And I can't make either thing happen. Sincerely, David Daily.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, sir.

Before we call the next witness, I do want to make for the record, of the two letters I was tendered,

Mr. Daily just read one of them. And the other from

Ms. Bushre is a duplicate of one that was actually

attached to the presentence investigation. So I'm just

going to set that aside because I've already considered

that once.

Ms. Koroll?

MS. KOROLL: Megan's aunt, Renee Daily.

THE COURT: Ms. Daily, step forward, please. You can take the witness stand, please. Thank you. Are you comfortable, ma'am?

MS. DAILY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Would you state your name and spell your last name for the record.

MS. DAILY: Leigh Renee Daily, D-a-i-l-y.

THE COURT: And ma'am, you have prepared and tendered to the probation department an impact statement in this case; is that right?

MS. DAILY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And I apologize. As soon as I can find it, I'm going to let you go ahead and read it. There we go. You'd like to read that today?

MS. DAILY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You may.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

IMPACT STATEMENT BY LEIGH RENEE DAILY

MS. DAILY: Your Honor and the Court, I'm a little unsure how to begin this letter or what to say. I will begin with that every morning I wake up and remember once again that everything has changed. is not coming to see us this weekend. She can't have my grandkids over to play. She won't be texting me silly questions about cooking like, What's a green onion? You would think I would remember this from the night before as my last thought every day is that nothing will ever be the same as I have to give my husband the pills he hates to take because they make him feel different, but it's the only way he won't remember the dreams, the nightmares, and that they'll be lessened in severity. He still has the nightmares, but in the morning he won't remember them unless they were very severe that night. If he doesn't take the pills, my night will also be ruined.

I should remember that everything changed because the pills have changed my husband along with the PTSD. We sleep with pillows between us to protect me when he's dreaming he's pulling the debris off the boat to find Megan. Sex is definitely out of the question along with most forms of intimacy, you know, cuddling,

Just laying next to each other in quiet space and time. Now unless he's asleep, he's always moving, fidgeting, squirming, itching his face, running his hands through his hair. He's constantly running that day through his mind trying to figure out a way he could have done more than save three people and a dog from dying, a way that he could have saved everyone.

He cries a lot when he thinks I'm not looking at night before he falls asleep. He says over and over again, "What if you" -- me -- "had been on the boat and the only thing I could have done differently was not take the boat out that day?" Did I mention that he fights sleep as if it's going to kill him? We have constant arguments about his needing sleep, that he has to work the next day, fights over his medication, fights over his going to counseling. And yet, through all of this, it's an improvement over what it was immediately after the accident. And so I'm grateful.

I would have never thought in a million years I would say I was grateful for our marriage being in this spot. Sometimes I think I can't stand one more minute of this. It's like we're stuck in a variation of Groundhog Day, you know, the movie. Every single day going through the same memories, saying the same things, listening to

the same sentences, feeling the same feelings. We're stuck, and it may always be this way.

We personally haven't taken our boat out on a major holiday since the crash, not any day that there are a lot of boaters that maybe aren't experienced. We've learned the hard way no matter if you do everything correctly, someone else can ruin your life. It haunts me that we had seen Mongan race up and down the river all the time. We had commented on his excessive speed and watched out for him on a regular basis. We had seen him swamp or sink his boat on his own ramp, probably from not putting the plug in. He was someone who made amateur mistakes in our opinion, and we watched for him when we were out.

Back to my days, though. You would think that I would not have to remember each morning because of my dog, the one who we've had to retrain to ride in any moving vehicle, who piddles if we go under a bridge because now he's afraid of anything going over or above him. We had to unplug our surround sound for several months because any loud crashing noise from the TV would send him in shakes and drooling and panting. Kind of how my husband shakes and pales if someone comes close to the middle line of the road when we're driving. How do you

tell your dog, "It's over; you're all right."

Our friends Phil and Sherry, they used to go out on a boat ride every weekend with us. I can count on one hand how many times they've been out since the accident. And I overheard telling Sherry telling Phil when someone else asked them to go with them, "No, I'll only go on the boat with Dave." Can you imagine spending thousands of dollars to have a spot on the river to camp and now being afraid to go out on the water? That's all of us now.

I know none of this sounds fun, but this isn't the worst of it for me. The worst of it happened right after the accident. I was in Texas visiting my grandmother with dementia. Dave had gone through a phase of dropping his cell phone in the water, so he no longer took his phone with him on the boat. Phil and Sherry had their phones but were in shock. I don't know if Megan's was on the boat or not. But no one knew how to get ahold of Megan's parents except for Dave and I, and Dave was sick with shock.

So I get a phone call. Renee, please sit down. Dave, Megan, Phil and Sherry went out for a little ride. I knew immediately something had happened. I demanded that I not be told a story and that she tell me who was hurt, Dave or Megan. I was praying, Please God let Dave

be okay. I should have prayed for Dave and Megan to be okay, but I didn't.

her.

She said, "It's Megan, and I don't think she's going to make it." My stomach hit my feet. And I think she told me quickly that someone had hit our boat and that Megan was in an ambulance and that they were performing CPR on her. I just hung up the phone. My only thought was as a parent was to get ahold of Megan's parents as quickly as I could. If they could just be at the hospital when Megan got there. If there was only one breath left in Megan, that she could see her parents and hear them tell her one more time that they loved her. If they could only get the opportunity to say to her one more time while she could still hear them that they loved

I called Megan's mom and it went into voicemail. I called her dad and it went into voicemail. I called my other two sister-in-laws, went into voicemail on one and finally got ahold of Dee. I told her to get to Robin's house, Megan's mom, and get her into a car, there had been an accident and it didn't look like Megan would make it and she needed to drive Robin.

By that time Robin called me back. I told her Megan had been hurt and that Dee was on her way and it

was bad. She calmly asked me, "How bad is it?" I said, "They're doing CPR." I'll never forget her scream for as long as I live. I told her I would try to find out which hospital, and by then Swaz, Megan's dad, was calling me back. He was, like, seven hours away in Wisconsin. I told him, "Get back. Megan's been hurt. It's bad. She's on her way to the hospital." I didn't know which one. I was trying to find out. Swaz exploded at me, "You find out where my daughter is and tell me right now." For the first time I felt a little scared of Swaz, but I understood where he was coming from. I told him I would.

No one at the campground knew where they were taking Megan. Finally I called the sheriff's department and found out where they were going and let everyone know. At this time I still hadn't been able to even talk to my husband or Phil and Sherry. All of this only took a few minutes, but it seemed like a whole day to me. These few minutes replay over and over again in my head day after day. The day I had to break my sister and brother-in-law's hearts. The day I had to start the process of sisters losing a sister, babies losing a mommy, cousins losing a cousin, grandparents losing a granddaughter, aunts and uncles losing a niece. Myself

and hundreds of other people losing not only a family member but a close friend. The rest was just a blur trying to change my airplane and flight. Trying to find someone who would take their phone to Dave. Trying to understand in his shock what he saw. Fielding questions from the family about what had happened. Trying to find out what had happened. And I have been picking up the pieces ever since then.

Throughout all of this, I have had faith in our justice system. I live in Ogle County. I voted for our state's attorney, our sheriff. We're a small community and we should rise together to confront whomever was at fault for this horrific accident. And you see, no one could tell me what had happened. Clearly I knew a boat hit our boat, but I didn't know the circumstances. We didn't know if Megan had drowned or had been killed by the impact. But I was willing to meet whatever had happened head-on. Whomever was at fault would accept responsibility for their actions, and we would go from there.

But then things changed, and the person at fault was well liked and well off. And as you know, a long two years of court hearings followed. Now Mr. Mongan has plea bargained to one felony. This plea bargain does not

contain wording that he was drunk. It does address that he murdered Megan by his actions. I understand the sentence for this could be just probation. I know that this is the first time Mr. Mongan was involved with a serious offense. I understand that no sentence, no matter how harsh or not, will bring Megan back.

But we have a community duty here to address. In my opinion, no one gets caught driving DUI the very first time they do it. And if Mr. Mongan has as much boating experience as we are led to believe, then certainly his behaviors on the water that I witnessed prior to the crash may have been due to being DUI too.

So this was no accident. This was a calculated risk that he was willing to take. I believe that probation only sends a very poor message to our community in this case. I also would feel personally better if I knew that he was not going to be able to operate his boat on our river again.

This crash has torn our community and my family apart. I see no remorse on Mr. Mongan's behalf, in his actions, or how he addresses the court. It was a very sad day for me two weeks when on a ride on a friend's pontoon boat, we saw a large party at Mr. Mongan's summer cottage on the river. He and his friends robustly waved

at our boat as we went by. Everyone on the boat sat in stunned silence and no one waved back.

At the same time Megan's favorite song came on the radio, Don't Stop Believing by Journey. I guess I'd like to believe that this man will not be able to do this to another family. I thank you for your time and consideration. Renee Daily.

THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You may step down.

Ms. Koroll?

MS. KOROLL: Melissa Swaziek, Megan's sister.

THE COURT: Ma'am, step forward, please. Take the witness stand for me, please. Ma'am, would you state your full name and spell your last name for the record.

MS. MELISSA SWAZIEK: Melissa Swaziek, S-w-a-z-i-e-k.

THE COURT: And you are related to Megan in what fashion?

MS. MELISSA SWAZIEK: Her sister.

THE COURT: All right. And you tendered a written impact statement to the probation department in this matter?

MS. MELISSA SWAZIEK: Yes.

THE COURT: And you'd like to read that today?

MS. MELISSA SWAZIEK: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. You may.

IMPACT STATEMENT BY MELISSA SWAZIEK

MS. MELISSA SWAZIEK: My name is Melissa Swaziek, and I'm Megan's sister and one of her best friends. I don't even where to begin when writing this letter because two years ago I never thought I would have to write a letter like this. I never thought that one of my loved ones would be ripped away from me in an instant because of one man's actions. I never thought I would feel so much pain from losing my best friend and sister because I never thought it would happen so soon. But here we are.

Megan was not only my sister and best friend, but she was a mother to three beautiful children, a daughter, a niece, a granddaughter, a cousin, a beloved friend to so many and so much more. Marc Mongan took that away from so many people because of his actions. I would like everyone in this courtroom, especially Marc Mongan, to think about a few things.

Think about your best friend that you talked to every day. Think about your mother when you were four, seven, or nine years old. This was the age of her children when she was taken from them. Think about your daughter or any of your children if you have them. Think about any family member that is always the life of the party and always makes everyone laugh.

Think about any of your daughters, Marc Mongan.

Think about all of these people or just one, specifically the one that's most important to you.

Now imagine them being taken from you. Imagine that they were enjoying a night on a boat, and then imagine a man running into that person head-on, full speed, killing them on impact. This is what happened to my family, to Megan's family because of this man, Marc Mongan.

Here's the last thing I want you to imagine.

Imagine that the person responsible for taking your loved one, whether they were your best friend, mother, daughter, niece, whoever, imagine that they didn't even spend a whole night in jail and they have not in almost two years since this has happened been held accountable.

How would you feel, Marc Mongan, if someone was taken from you that you loved and the person who was responsible for it had zero accountability? I'm not saying that you did this on purpose, by any means. But the matter of the fact is that you -- that your actions killed my sister. You were the one driving the boat that night that hit her with such force that she flew from the boat and was killed on impact.

Do you even feel remorseful? Because I'm a firm

believer of actions speak louder than words, and your actions during all of these court dates that I have been to have shown zero remorse.

I've talked to a lot of people about what happened to my sister, and they told me stories of other people being put away in jail for less or for the same actions. And yet here you are, enjoying holidays, enjoying time with your loved ones. And here we are shattered from what you did that night.

I got married last Saturday. It was supposed to be the best day of my life. However, I was grieving during this day as well because my maid of honor could not be there because of you.

Don't get me wrong, it was a great day, and I'm so happy to have been married. But I kept looking for Megan and wanting to celebrate with her because I knew she would be so happy for me. She was not there, though. She missed my wedding day and she will miss so many important dates because of you, and for that reason I think you should be held accountable by receiving the maximum penalty for your reckless conduct charge.

You are receiving reckless conduct for taking a person's life. What is reckless conduct under the State of Illinois? Reckless conduct by the Illinois General

Assembly is described as follows:

A person commits reckless conduct when he or she by any means, lawful or unlawful, recklessly performs an act or acts that, one, causes bodily harm to or endanger the safety of another person; or, two, cause great bodily harm or permanent disability or disfigurement to another person.

The second one is a Class 4 felony, which is what you have pleaded to. So this is saying that you caused great bodily harm to Megan. Harm? You harmed her? No, you killed her. You killed a person, and I hope you feel remorse and have to live with that for the rest of your life. I hope you have to answer to this one day or another because so far you have not.

Maybe you will today, maybe a few weeks down the road or maybe years down the road, but one day I hope somehow you pay for this and you feel remorse for our family and her kids. You ruined our lives. I just hope you realize that and that you have to pay some consequences for your actions. Because if you don't and you get away with killing a person with no consequences, why should anyone have consequences for anything that they do?

Megan deserved so much more than being killed

and having the man who killed her walk free. Please,
Judge, do not allow this to happen. Megan deserved to
live a long and happy life. Marc Mongan's sole actions
took that away from her. He deserves the maximum penalty
for his actions.

Thank you. Melissa Swaziek.

THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You may be seated.

MS. KOROLL: Thank you, your Honor. Megan's mother, Robin Swaziek.

THE COURT: Ma'am, step forward, please.

Ma'am, would you state your full name and spell your last name for the record, please.

MS. ROBIN SWAZIEK: Robin Swaziek, S-w-a-z-i-e-k.

THE COURT: And you are Megan's mother; is that right?

MS. ROBIN SWAZIEK: Yes.

THE COURT: And you prepared and tendered to the probation department an impact statement in this particular case; is that right?

MS. ROBIN SWAZIEK: Yes.

THE COURT: And you'd like to read that today?

MS. ROBIN SWAZIEK: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Whenever you're ready, you may.

IMPACT STATEMENT BY ROBIN SWAZIEK

MS. ROBIN SWAZIEK: The night of June 24th my daughter was doing something she loved. She was raised on her papa's pontoon. She was on a pontoon on the river before she could walk. So of course it continued with her uncle's boat. My dad always had a boat. I loved boating on the river.

Your irresponsible actions that day took so much from us. My daughter, my love for the water, my life as I knew it before that horrible day. I was blessed with three beautiful daughters who I love dearly. I was a stay-at-home mom because I didn't want to miss a minute of their precious childhoods. They're all special, but when you lose one it tears a huge hole in your heart. Your life is destroyed beyond repair. You have three daughters. Which one could you live without? You may as well have took me that night also. You chose to drink that day and get behind the wheel of a boat driving recklessly and so far -- and so fast that you couldn't even control it enough to avoid this collision. Why?

Because of your actions my beautiful daughter is gone. She left behind three children without their mom.

I look into their faces, and it hurts that they are missing their mother raising them, her love for them. We

are a torn family, and it's all because of you. I asked your attorney one time after court in the hallway how he sleeps at night. He replied that he has no problem sleeping. We have so many sleepless nights. So many tears. What about you?

You should have had to look at her pictures in the morgue of what you had done to her. You should have had to come to her service which was standing-room only and seen the overwhelming love for Megan that was so obvious and felt the pain that you caused. You should have had to witness the horrible moment when we had to tell her three young children that they would never see their mom again. Hear their cries. You should have had to witness how the smallest thing can now paralyze us in everything we do. It's so obvious that Megan is not there.

Her witty comments, always up to something. Her smile. Now we feel the absence of Megan all because of you. Our family functions are unbearable. The last picture I have of me and Megan are on that boat a few weeks before enjoying ourselves. How dare you take all that away from us.

Even her wish for when she died you took. Ever since she was old enough to understand, she wanted to be

an organ donor. You took that also. Her major organs were so damaged from your boat that crashed into her that they could not be used. This was no accident. Her death certificate says homicide. You should be held accountable for that. Not probation. Jail time for you to have to really think about what you did that day in June.

It took us four years to conceive and have Megan. She was so loved and wanted, and you took that in a blink of an eye from us. You took our child. The mother of our grandkids. A sister, a granddaughter, a niece, a cousin, a friend who was loved by so many. And what did you lose?

We've lived every hour, every day, trying to cope and have some kind of life while you have never even seen the inside of a jail cell. You bonded out that night for \$200 and went home.

We left the hospital and morgue without our precious daughter. Justice? I think not. How would you feel if this happened to one of your daughters? I promise you one thing, Megan will never be forgotten as long as I live. It will not be forgotten why or who is the reason she's not here. 31 years old. She deserved to live her life to the fullest. You had no right taking

that from her, no right to drink and drive recklessly that day or any day. You shouldn't be above the law. You deserve to be held accountable for Megan not being here. You deserve to go to jail.

On June 24, your action gave us a life sentence of always feeling this horrible heartache of not having Megan here with us, her kids, and everyone who loved her. I hope and pray you get the punishment that is due to you for taking our beautiful child Megan. Robin Swaziek.

THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.

MS. KOROLL: I believe that completes it. That is all, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. We're going to take a ten-minute recess and we'll come back.

(Recess taken.)

THE COURT: We'll back on the record in 17 CF 168,
People vs. Marc Mongan. The defendant is present before
the Court with his attorneys, Mr. Tess and Mr. Crull.
Mr. Neal is here for the State. Ms. Koroll for the crime
victims.

Mr. Neal, anything else on behalf of the State in aggravation?

MR. NEAL: Your Honor, at this time the People would simply just request the Court consider two aggravating

factors, especially over all of the other aggravating 1 2 factors. I'll take your argument after I've heard 3 THE COURT: from Mr. Tess's folks. 4 MR. NEAL: Sure. 5 Any other evidence in aggravation? THE COURT: 6 MR. NEAL: No evidence. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Tess, evidence in mitigation? 8 We do have one witness, your Honor. MR. TESS: 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. CRULL: Your Honor, if we could call Mr. Ed Fane. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Fane, step forward, please. Take the 12 witness stand, please, sir. Mr. Fane in possession of 13 his letter? 14 MR. CRULL: Your Honor, I'll likely just be 15 16 questioning him a little bit. I'm not going to accept his impact 17 THE COURT: statement and allow you to guestion him. 18 19 MR. CRULL: Then I would rely on his testimony today. 20 THE COURT: All right. So you want to withdraw his letter? 21 MR. CRULL: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. The letter of June 15th by 23 Edward Fane will be withdrawn and will not be considered 24

by the Court. 1 2 MR. CRULL: Thanks, your Honor. 3 (Oath administered.) WHEREUPON: 4 EDWARD FANE, 5 called as a witness on behalf of the Defendant, being 6 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. CRULL: 9 You're Mr. Edward Fane, F-a-n-e; is that Q. 10 correct? 11 Α. That's correct. 12 Q. And you know Mr. Marc Mongan; is that correct? 13 That is correct. Α. 14 15 Q. Can you tell me a little bit about how you came 16 to know Mr. Mongan? Oh, probably been about 10, 12 years ago. 17 Marc bought a piece of property out by where I live. He had a 18 19 wildlife habitat on it, and he was calling me for some advice on how to maintain it. And I'm -- I've been 20 president of Pheasants Forever for 25 years in Ogle 21 County, so he was just looking for some expertise on how 22 to work -- maintain his property, plant his food plots, 23 24 things like that. That's how we first met.

- Q. And that was to help with wildlife or something on that property?
- A. That's correct. It's a wildlife, annual food plots and annual burnings and things like that. I mean, it's for wildlife.
- **Q**. Have yourself and Mr. Mongan continued to be involved in those types of activities?
- A. Yes. We've continued to plant his food plots annually and do prescribed burns when needed. And we've got him actually involved with the National Wild Turkey Federation, done some activities with them on -- they have a handicapped youth hunt in the spring that Marc and I have both made our properties available to them for that.
- **Q**. Can you tell me a little bit about that, yourself and Mr. Mongan's involvement with that?
- A. The Wild Turkey Federation has a youth hunt in the spring, and they -- a lot of times it's youth that have never hunted before and will have a handicap of some sort. And they look for properties that have a turkey population where people are willing to let them come out and set up their ground lines. And the hunt is mentored by an adult and someone from the National Wild Turkey Federation. So Marc and I have gotten involved and allow

them to use our properties.

- Q. Other than through the National Wild Turkey Federation and Pheasants Forever, have yourself and Mr. Mongan engaged in any other activities?
- A. Yes. We golf. We've done a lot of boating over the years. I retired about six years ago. I've had the opportunity to spend more time on the river. I don't own a boat myself, so quite often went with Marc. We've camped. We've gone on family outings and dinners and things like that.
- Q. If you could describe Marc as a boater, how would you describe him?
- A. In all my experience with Marc, he's a very conservative boater. I've probably been on the river with him 100 times. Quite often fishing but just sometimes just pleasure cruising up and down the river. And we're not -- quite frequently -- Always aware of his surroundings. Always very courteous and follows boating regulations and rules. Quite often we would just drop a line in the water and float down the river. It wasn't always about getting from Point A to Point B.
- **Q**. After the incident that took place on -- the accident that took place on June 24th of 2016, have you remained friends with Mr. Mongan?

- A. Yes, we have.
- **Q**. Have you had the opportunity to discuss that accident with him?
 - A. Yes.
- **Q**. Can you describe those conversations or things that have happened?
- A. When the accident first happened, I found out the next day. A mutual friend called me, and I knew at that time -- I gave Marc a day to just be with his family and get his thoughts and stuff together. It was a really long night after the accident and things. And the second day after I called him up and asked if I could come up and see him, if he was willing to seek comforting. And we did, and he told me what happened and total remorse and -- I've been to just about all the court proceedings since. And anytime Marc and I do talk about it, it's -- it's tough. It's emotional to him. It's emotional to me. He is remorseful for it.
 - Q. And that's what he's expressed to you?
 - A. Absolutely.
- **Q**. Have you guys talked about the responsibility for the accident?
 - A. I'm sorry?
 - Q. Have you talked about any of the responsibility

for the accident?

- A. Marc's responsibility?
- **Q**. Just about the responsibility of how the accident occurred.
- A. It was an accident. I'll guarantee ya. I don't -- I know Marc telling me early on that he didn't see that boat. There was more going on on the river that night than I think any of us know. And like I say, in my experience with Marc, a very responsible person on the river. Not careless. This wasn't a careless act. It was a tragic accident.
- **Q**. Back to yourself and Mr. Mongan's relationship. Has there been a time when he's been -- like, I guess, you've been a friend to him, you've been there for him to discuss different things. Has he ever been a friend like that to you?
- A. Oh, greatly. My family went through a period where we had an illness that had to be treated at a remote location, and I would ask Mark, you know, hey, we're going to be out of town for a period of time, and it was a number of days in a row and sometimes overnight stays. And Marc would very willingly come and take care of my house, take care of my pets, feed them, walk them, keep me updated every day on how things were around the

house. And that was above and beyond taking care of his own family and his own business and other things. It was never -- There was never any question. He was always there, willing and able.

- Q. Aside from what he's done for you, are you aware of anything else he's done for people in the community?
- A. Yeah. He's -- He and his wife both through their business and individually are very generous contributors to a lot of organizations. He has a drawing over the holidays down at his business where one of his employees gets to choose a non-for-profit that they make a generous donation to. I know Marc and Michelle have probably donated \$100,000 to charities over the last maybe ten years.
 - Q. Just in the time that you've known him?
 - A. Just in the time I've known him.
- **Q**. Do you know anything about Marc as a business owner?
- A. I know when he graduated pharmacy school, he started working for a pharmacy and in several different locations I think. Then he found an opportunity and created his own business and then has been very successful at it. It wasn't given to him. It wasn't inherited. It was something he built and earned.

Pheasants Forever and with the conservation. 1 2 Sir, you've been friends with Mr. Mongan for a long time, correct? 3 Α. Correct. 4 And you remain friends with him? 5 Q. Yes, sir. 6 Α. You have not been on the river with him since Q. 7 the day of this -- the collision which --8 Α. That's correct. 9 Have you been with him socially since then? Q. 10 Yes. 11 Α. Have you seen Mr. Mongan consume alcohol since 12 Q. then? 13 Α. Yes. 14 15 MR. NEAL: No further questions. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Crull, anything else? MR. CRULL: I don't have anything further, your 17 Honor. Thank you. 18 THE COURT: You may step down, sir. Thank you very 19 20 much. Thank you, sir. THE WITNESS: 21 22 (Witness excused.) THE COURT: Mr. Tess, call your next witness. 23 No further evidence, your Honor. Argument 24 MR. TESS:

only.

THE COURT: All right. Is Mr. Mongan going to make a statement of allocution? And if so, does he want to do that prior to or following argument?

MR. TESS: I think it's most appropriate after argument, your Honor.

THE COURT: Fair enough. Mr. Neal, I'll take argument as to recommendations.

MR. NEAL: Your Honor, the -- if it please the Court and counsel, the family, I think the day we first met I told you the People were sorry for your loss. There probably can't be anything any of us can think of any worse than the loss of a child, so I would like to conclude letting the family know that the People are very sorry for their loss.

The Court is aware of all the statutory aggravating and mitigating factors, and I'm not going to recite them again. I do want to tell the Court that the People have focused on two of the statutory aggravating factors in our thought process regarding an appropriate sentence.

The first is that the defendant's conduct caused or threatened serious harm. His conduct did. And it resulted in the death of another person. He killed a

girl on the river.

And Number 7, your Honor, is that the sentence is necessary to deter others from committing this same offense. The rivers are supposed to be a place of recreation. The People are painfully aware that this type of conduct is not the -- this is not the first person who has engaged in this kind of conduct on the river.

I believe the Illinois General Assembly, in enacting stricter and stricter laws, are trying to send a message this is not permissible in the state of Illinois. The People believe that obviously this is the most serious form of reckless conduct, the loss of a life, and in such the People have consistently believed that a determinate sentence in the Illinois Department of Corrections is an appropriate sentence in this case.

The People do, though, acknowledge, that your Honor has a tough decision because there's a presumption of probation, and we have argued the two what we believe most serious aggravating factors, but there are other aggravating factors and mitigating factors that your Honor must consider.

If after determining all the facts based upon all the evidence and this specific offense, your Honor,

you believe that this defendant should be placed upon probation, the People want to make multiple recommendations of conditions of probation.

The People would believe that should your Honor place this defendant on probation, the defendant should be on maximum probation. And by maximum probation, the People are recommending first the maximum amount of time of probation, which is 30 months, but also maximum probation regarding contact, et cetera, with the probation department. I'm not here to tell the probation department how to do their job. They do it very well. But we do believe that as intensive a probation as Ogle County could place upon this defendant would be appropriate if that is the sentence.

The People do believe that incarceration in the Ogle County jail would be an appropriate sentence. As the Court knows, I hesitate to use the words "for a period of not more than six months" because it sounds like we're asking for a short time, but that's the statutory amount of time.

We believe that this defendant should be required to do community service work. Our suggestion is 300 hours of the community service work because that would be -- in our hopes that should you determine

probation is an appropriate sentence, that's ten hours each and every month.

The People recommend that this defendant be required to go through the Ogle County Victim Impact Panel. While the defendant's plea did not involve the offense of DUI on the river, this is clearly an event where there have been allegations throughout that the consumption of alcohol was a factor in this offense.

The People are asking the Court, and we know that you will, to consider restitution to the victim's family. We have submitted Exhibit A to you, your Honor. The People specifically note that of all these suggestions, the two most common that we would see in a case would be regarding funeral expenses and crisis counseling. The People would recommend perhaps that the Court consider either the family or the victim advocate if they want to argue further regarding these suggestions that they have made.

Judge, this defendant, I believe, has gone through an alcohol evaluation. The People are recommending that he would go through the same alcohol evaluation and treatment and follow-up that would be recommended on any DUI in Illinois.

Judge, we're asking that the defendant be

prohibited from consuming alcoholic beverages during the period of probation unless and of course if he's participating in an organized religious ceremony. One of the most troubling things that the People saw in a presentence investigation, which does not have, as we can all see, a series of -- series of violent crimes or anything of that nature, is that this defendant has indicated, quite truthfully and he should be commended for that, that he still will socially drink two to six It is the People's position that he should not be consuming alcoholic beverages. And to the extent if the Court would deem that's an appropriate condition of his probation, we would ask that the defendant be required to wear a SCRAM device. And the People's recommendation would be that he wear that SCRAM device until he's gone through 12 consecutive months of no alcoholic beverages.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Judge, we're asking that this defendant be ordered not to enter any establishment, if he's placed on probation, whose primary purpose is the sale of alcohol be consumed on the premises. And I know the Courts have found this to be a difficult position, and we're not recommending that this defendant be prohibited from going to a restaurant that serves alcohol, but we're certainly

recommending that this condition, and as clearly as we could work together with counsel and the Court, if that's a condition that he's not going into taverns during the period of probation.

Your Honor, the People are recommending that should you place this defendant on probation that he be prohibited from operating any watercraft on any navigable waterway in the United States of America during the time of his probation. This defendant should not be operating a boat.

Your Honor, and again, this is something that is certainly not a standard condition of probation, but the People would recommend that this defendant be prohibited from recreational activities on the Rock River during the period of probation, including being a passenger on a watercraft during the probation. We're not suggesting that he cannot be involved in shore lunch or barbecues, et cetera, but we believe that an appropriate condition of probation would be that this defendant not be allowed to be on the river during that period of probation.

Judge, we'd be asking for a no-contact order with David and Robin and their daughters if this defendant is placed upon probation. We believe it's best that they stay away from the family.

Judge, I'm not making a recommendation to the Court -- and the Court in your wisdom can determine whether or not a fine is appropriate -- as to a fine because it would be the People's preference that any available funds go first to restitution before they go to a fine. We would ask, of course, that the defendant be ordered to pay whatever the appropriate court costs, that we would try to sit down with the clerk and be assessed on a crime of this nature in Ogle County.

And Judge, finally, if this Court does determine that this defendant should be placed upon probation, we would ask that this defendant be admonished clearly that any violation of probation, of any term of the probation, would certainly result in a petition to revoke, at which time the People would come back to this Court and once again ask for a sentence in the Illinois Department of Corrections. I understand that probation can do internal discipline and they can make changes internally, but we would be requesting probation, if there's any violation, to notify the People. And I would not want this defendant to leave court today wondering what would be the outcome of that.

THE COURT: I'd be happy to admonish him that any violation could result in a petition. I suppose as to

whether it would is up to you.

MR. NEAL: Yes, it is. And that's the admonishment we'd be asking for, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. NEAL: And Judge, finally -- I'm going to go in a complete circle -- I don't want the fact that I recommend all of these conditions of probation to be considered that the People are recommending probation. It is the People's position that, especially as to Number 1 and Number 7 of the aggravating factors, that an Illinois Department of Corrections sentence would be appropriate and could be considered by this Court in this case.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Neal.

Ms. Koroll, are you okay with the recommendations as to restitution made by the State, or do you wish to make further argument as to restitution only?

MS. KOROLL: Your Honor, in our amended petition for restitution we did ask for some time to put together figures. The Exhibit A that is attached is not fully itemized, and I had some concerns with that. It was my understanding that under the Crime Victims Act we could submit our detailed requests for restitution --

THE COURT: I don't intend to reserve restitution.

MS. KOROLL: Yes, sir. Okay. Then what I'm seeking in Exhibit A that has been provided, we are aware that -- This is an exhibit put together by Megan's father. We can provide an itemization of the cost of the attendance for Court. As this Court can see, the family has been here for every court appearance. That has involved travel into this county. That has also involved some time off of work for both of the crime victims and additional family members.

The family has done some extensive and expensive research in looking at this case, gathering information, conducting interviews with different offices and such.

And as such -- And they have also utilized newspaper resources in the form of ads. That is also listed and some research recommendations.

The Crime Victims Act asks that this Court require Mr. Mongan to establish a memorial fund in honor of Megan and that they're asking that the Court ask that that memorial fund be in the sum of \$50,000. The exhibit also has a provision placed by the crime victims as an addition, and I'll leave that to the Court to review.

The funeral expenses are listed as \$1,289. My understanding is that may not include all of the expenses. And that the crisis counseling is an ongoing

. •

process for the crime victims. They have set a dollar amount, which they can further itemize if needed.

Your Honor, we believe that restitution is a very important part of this. We also believe that Mr. Mongan has very adequate resources, not only in his employment and hourly wage, also in the pharmacy company that he runs is also known that he has acquired quite a bit of property in this county, and we believe that he certainly is able to pay the restitution amount and would ask that the Court consider that as listed in our petition.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

Mr. Tess? Mr. Crull?

MR. TESS: Thank you, your Honor.

May it please the Court, counsel, and both of the families that are involved herein.

What a horrible, sad day this is for everyone. Certainly once again, on behalf of the Mongan family, on behalf of Mr. Crull and myself, we wish to extend our condolences and our sympathies to Mr. and Mrs. Swaziek and the rest of Megan Wells' family.

Marc Mongan has pled guilty to reckless conduct.

In doing so he has acknowledged certainly the death of
Megan Wells in operating a watercraft without giving a
right-of-way and at a speed not proper for the boating

1

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

conditions. It's been a lot of talk about alcohol. But there is no evidence before the Court, there's no allegations that have been substantiated before the Court regarding alcohol. The grand jury refused to return such an indictment, and all such charges have been dismissed.

Objection, your Honor. That is improper MR. NEAL: argument.

THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. I'll disregard the question about the grand jury.

MR. TESS: The Court has had an opportunity to read all the letters on behalf of both parties, both families. My heart, and I know the heart of Mr. Mongan and Mr. Mongan's family, hurts for all of them and goes out to them. Our prayers go out to them.

But there are facts that need to be cleared up Alcohol consumption on behalf of Mr. Mongan again. played no part in this incident. Mr. Mongan has not acted above the law. He has not been given preferential treatment by this Court or the court system in Ogle He's come and left the courthouse as directed each time and as instructed.

Mr. Mongan is not a speed racer on the river as evidenced by the testimony of Mr. Fane and the various letters that have been provided to the Court.

Mr. Fane indicated, he's always cautious and never in a hurry.

It would be easy to get into a blame game and talk about the nature and specifics of the event and about other people involved in the accident, but none of that will bring back Megan. At this point Mr. Mongan has accepted his responsibility for this accident and for this incident.

Mr. Mongan is a lifelong resident of Ogle County. He's a graduate of Oregon High School and then St. Louis University. He came back to this area to practice his trade in pharmacy. He's been married to Michelle, and they are looking forward to their 17th anniversary upcoming. His mom, three daughters, and Michelle and the rest of their family are here in court today.

Mr. Mongan is the owner of Oregon Healthcare Pharmacy Services, Inc., and prior to that worked at Dixon Pharmacy. It is significant that subsequent to this incident Mr. Mongan as to his health history suffered a hip replacement and most importantly sought counseling as a result of this incident.

His criminal history is also significant in that it is uneventful. There's two, illegal transportation of

alcohol when he was 20 years of age, some 27 years ago, speeding ticket the last of which was ten years ago. It's important to note for the Court that although the presentence report did not mention it, Mr. Mongan did receive a statutory summary suspension as a result of this incident, lost his driver's license and privilege to drive for one year, which was not BAIID eligible.

He will also lose his FOID card. You heard Mr. Fane testify Mr. Mongan is an avid hunter, been involved in activities for Pheasants Forever. There's letters indicating that he has taken his grandkids hunting and other kids hunting and been very involved with that. That will cease, and that will cease forever for Mr. Mongan.

Although as indicated, alcohol is not related to this incident, Mr. Mongan did complete an alcohol assessment by Dr. Jayne Braden, a licensed clinical psychologist. Counsel indicated that he would ask the Court to complete a DUI-type assessment, and the Court should be aware that Dr. Braden is so licensed by DASA courts subparts thereafter.

I think it's important to realize that her report is consistent with the letters provided on behalf of Mr. Mongan. It indicates in summary, quote: "A low

probability of having a substance abuse disorder."

And recommendation of, quote: "No treatment required."

We ask the Court to look at all these factors, the letters, and as it has to by statute look at the factors in aggravation and mitigation. As counsel has indicated, there are two factors in aggravation that apply here. But it's also important for everyone to know and the Court to understand that there are 30 factors in aggravation but only two apply here.

First: "The defendant's conduct caused or threatened serious harm." It certainly did that. And Mr. Mongan has acknowledged that.

Second, Number 7: "The sentence is necessary to deter others from committing the same crime." This factor in some way applies to all sentencing and requires the Court to really look at the totality of the circumstances. Those circumstances not only include the how and the why of this incident but also the character of the defendant. I'd ask to address those issues by way of the mitigation arguments.

There are 16 statutory mitigation arguments for the Court to review. Seven of those apply here.

Number 2: "The defendant did not contemplate that his criminal conduct would cause or threaten serious

physical harm to another." Two men got off work. As they do weekly, sometimes more than once a week they went fishing. If you look at Nick Lamb's letter who was a passenger on the boat, his fishing partner, Marc was in total control, he was handling difficult maneuvers while they were putting out bait lines, changing complicated hooks on bait lines while --

THE COURT: Mr. Tess, I don't mean to interrupt you, but I'm not going to consider any evidence or argument today as it relates to how this accident occurred.

MR. TESS: It is, I think, your Honor, important for the Court to at least consider these actions in the sense that Mr. Mongan's actions are not such that it would continue.

Number 6: "The defendant has compensated or will compensate the victim." We certainly understand there's a loss of life here, and no such compensation can be given for that. But as an officer of the Court, I can advise the Court that there is a substantial insurance policy available, that that is being litigated currently, and that Mr. Mongan will be required, pursuant to that, to pay \$100,000 of that coverage himself up front before the coverage starts. He is making those financial arrangements and doing so through the civil court.

Number 7: "The defendant has no criminal history or criminal activity or has led a law-abiding life for a substantial period of time." We've indicated already, speeding ticket ten years ago.

Number 8: "The defendant's criminal conduct was a result of circumstances unlikely to reoccur." Again, I ask the Court to consider Ed Fane's testimony. They've been out on the boat hundreds of times together. Nick Lamb, out on the boat many times. Nick indicates in his letter that Mr. Mongan and he would often take Mr. Lamb's son with them. Mr. Fane's comment, very conservative, very careful.

Michelle Mongan's letter to the Court, cautious to the point of being obsessed with it.

Nick Lamb's letter, never irresponsible, never at a high rate of speed.

This was an unusual nature of events that occurred. And as such, I think this factor is important for the Court to consider in mitigation.

Number 9: "Character and attitude of the defendant indicate he is unlikely to commit another crime." It is interesting how the letters on behalf of Marc Mongan in different ways all talk about his character.

Ron Keith, a business employer, first hired Marc Mongan, saw great things in him 20 or 30 years ago, talked about him being a good man, talked about how he cared about his patients, took time to counsel doctors and nurses who were treating his patients, saw him as a successful employee, a successful father, a successful son and husband, said he was sensitive and caring and noted he will carry this incident with him the rest of his life.

Darlene Nickoloff, an employee of Marc's, said totally dependable. Words she used were grace, dignity, integrity, honesty, kindness, he would not hurt anyone.

Ed Fane, a man who he helped in a time of need. He indicated that he had accepted responsibility in their private conversations and was remorseful. And noted his heavy charitable actions over his life, well prior to this incident.

Michelle Mongan, a man of integrity.

Number 10: "The defendant is particularly likely to comply with the terms of probation."

Mr. Mongan's followed all the pretrial orders. He 's not missed a court appearance. His character has been attested to, as we've just indicated. He's a lifelong resident of the community and has a multitude of family

and friend support.

No. 11: "The imprisonment of the defendant would entail excessive hardship." Both Michelle Mongan's letter and Nicholas -- Ms. Nickoloff's letter indicate that, yes, Mr. Mongan's business has 30 employees that rely upon him. Imprisonment would entail likely the loss of his pharmacy license or at least the potential of that. He has a wife and two daughters at home. One is still in high school. Grandkids. And a requirement to contribute substantial funds to the civil matter.

We ask the Court to recall that the Illinois statute, as Attorney Neal has pointed out, indicates that a sentence of probation or conditional discharge is a presumptive favor unless the nature and circumstances of the offense or the character of Mr. Mongan require otherwise.

We don't believe that's necessary. We believe we've clearly shown that the nature and circumstances and the character of Mr. Mongan do not require imprisonment and that a term of probation or conditional discharge, as the statute sets forth, is appropriate.

No sentence will bring Megan back. No sentence will change what happened on the river that night.

We ask the Court to sentence Mr. Mongan to

24 months conditional discharge. We ask the Court to follow the recommendations of the presentence report that he maintain his employment and that he seek personal counseling for the incident involved herein.

These SCRAM device, alcohol restrictions, those things here are not necessary. We have an alcohol assessment by a clinical psychologist who has indicated they're not necessary. If they're not necessary for treatment, then they just become punishment. That's not the issue here.

Public service work as the Court deems appropriate.

As to restitution, the statute provides for certain things. In this particular case certainly the funeral expenses are appropriate. They will be handled through the Wrongful Death Act in the civil proceeding by statute. Although restitution does not provide for pain and suffering, we believe that counseling to some extent is appropriate. We have not seen any of those reports, any of those things, but we do believe that some of that counseling could be appropriate.

In reviewing the Ogle County sentencing order, we would continue to recommend that in addition to a term of conditional discharge for 24 months, the defendant be

required not to violate any statute of the jurisdiction, report to the probation office as requested and follow their directions, to cooperate and satisfactorily complete any counseling that the probation department deems appropriate pursuant to the recommendations that's previously made or the recommendations of Dr. Braden, permit home visits by the probation office as it requests, inform the probation office of any change of address as required.

We would join with the State in requesting no fine so that the Court can in its discretion utilize some funds towards restitution. Again, we haven't seen those. We would suggest that the fine, costs and restitution be capped at \$5,000.

Again, your Honor, on behalf of Mr. Mongan and my office, we extend our condolences to the family. No sentence can bring Megan back. We understand that. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Tess.

Mr. Neal, I'll give you the last word prior to Mr. Mongan.

MR. NEAL: Very briefly.

Your Honor, very briefly, there has been argument that alcohol did not play a role in this and

there's no evidence of alcohol, but your Honor -- and that was bolstered by the sentence that I objected to that a grand jury made a finding. I think I need to clarify the record on this. This case was brought by information. There was never a grand jury indictment in this matter. This case was brought by information and a finding of probable cause as to all seven counts. So there was a finding of probable cause regarding alcohol in there, by way of clarification.

The argument that no alcohol is designed to punish, while the People clearly believe that punishment is in order for a crime of this nature, I want to be clear that the issues of no alcohol are designed more to protect the public than to punish this defendant.

And finally, Judge, the only other thing I'd like to address is in all of these issues in mitigation that are argued by counsel -- and he did a good job and we're not quarreling with most of them -- but he cites Number 2, that "The defendant did not contemplate that his criminal conduct would cause or threatened serious physical harm to another." And I believe he's telling the truth about this defendant. But your Honor, that goes directly to Aggravating Factor Number 7, we need to deter this offense from coming again. We need to make

sure that other people in the community that are on boats on the Rock River know that this type of conduct would certainly, certainly threaten serious physical harm to another. And for that we would ask that the Court consider strong penalties.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

Mr. Tess, does Mr. Mongan wish to make a statement in allocution?

MR. TESS: He does, your Honor.

THE COURT: You may.

STATEMENT OF ALLOCUTION

THE DEFENDANT: To Megan's parents, David and Robin, I am sorry for what I have put you through. To Megan's two sisters, Kristy and Melissa, I am sorry. To Megan's other family and friends, I am sorry. To Megan's husband Robbie, I am sorry.

I am mostly sorry to the ones that seem to have been missed throughout all this, Megan's three children. They'll have to grow up without their mother. This is the one thing that I deal with every day from the most horrific thing I've ever been part of.

To my wife and three daughters and my mother, I am sorry you've had to be part of this and what I've put you through. My family and friends, I am sorry.

1 which might make this easier. I'm sorry that you feel I 2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have no regard for others. If you take my silence as a lack of remorse and disregard the circumstance, you do not know me very well. I'm a person who is very well and respectful of others. The fact that I have defended my rights did not indicate any lack of remorse.

I'm not the evil person that you want me to be,

The guilt and anxiety associated with all of this over the last two years has been overwhelming. It's something I will live with forever regardless of any social media blasts and assumptions. I am sorry and hurt for Megan's loss.

I'm sorry that the activity that I enjoyed and I did several times a week, boating on the river, has brought about such a terrible accident. I remain to this day unable to drive or even ride on a boat.

I do not think I'm better than anyone. cried with my family, and I've cried with my friends, and I've cried with people I work with. I've cried alone as I live my own personal battle and punish myself daily. I have moved through this a lot in check. Ultimately there are three children that will grow up without a mother. That is the one thing that I deal with every day and the most horrific thing I've ever been part of. It will

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

continue long after all of the legal issues are over. Again, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to stand down for about 15 minutes. I want to review my notes and look over the factors again. I'll come back and announce my decision in 15 minutes.

(Recess taken.)

All right. We'll go back on the record THE COURT: in 17 CF 168, People vs. Marc Mongan. The defendant is present before the Court with his attorneys, Mr. Tess and Mr. Crull. Mr. Neal is here for the State. Ms. Koroll is here for the crime victims family.

The Court has heard the evidence presented The Court has reviewed the presentence investigation, taken into account all of the letters that were submitted in support of both sides, reviewed the statutory factors in aggravation and mitigation.

And I'm going to say a couple of things. Αt first it's going to sound almost ridiculously simplistic, all right, but this is an extremely, extremely emotional case, on both sides, and I can't throw a rock at anybody from either side for feeling the way that they feel.

I have two sons myself. My sons are 19 and

1 17 ye
2 one o
3 I wou
4 happe

17 years old. I couldn't imagine having to bury either one of them. What I can imagine is if I had to do that, I would want the absolute worst possible thing that could happen to the person that causes me to have to do that to happen. That's a human reaction. I'd be amazed at a parent that didn't have that reaction. All right?

And I get all that. And that in and of itself is a very good reason why it would be inappropriate for me to sentence that person.

The matter cannot be decided on emotion. The easiest thing in the world is for me to look at all you folks and having listened to you and read your heart-wrenching stories and say, You've lost your daughter, you've lost your sister, you've lost your niece, you've lost your friend, and this guy needs to just go away for as long as I can possibly send him away because you had to suffer that. The law doesn't allow me to decide this case on emotion. In fact, I'm precluded from deciding this case on emotion.

I also can't decide this case based upon what I'll refer to as apples and oranges. I know that in a couple of the letters I heard references to other people have told me that somebody else in a similar sort or situation got a pretty hefty sentence for doing something

else.

Inevitably when I hear those sorts of statements, it's an apples and oranges situation. I can't -- There's always something about each case that is different or sets it apart from other matters. So I can't decide this case based on apples and oranges.

I can't decide this case based upon compensation. I think Mrs. Daily said it best, I mean, you can't be compensated, you know. If Mr. -- If Mr. Mongan went to prison for three years, he's going to come out in three years and a day and you're still going to be missing your daughter and you're still going to be missing your sister, and you're still going to be missing your family members and your friends. So you can never be compensated for that. And I think everybody agrees, and that's why I say it may sound ridiculously simplistic. But I understand that.

I can't decide this case based upon revenge. He did this to us, therefore the judge should do something to him to make him suffer like we are suffering.

Because, first of all, there's nothing I can do to him that's going to make him suffer the way that you're suffering. I can't do that.

There is a book that talks about an eye for an

eye. Unfortunately, that book is not the Illinois Criminal Code which I am sworn and bound to use as my guide as it relates to the passing sentence in this case.

Many of the letters that I read refer to justice and accountability in this case. And those are words that are subject to a lot of different interpretations depending on which side of the table you're sitting on. Justice and accountability I will guarantee means a completely different thing to Megan's family and friends than it does to Mr. Mongan's family and friends.

One can be punished and made accountable for their actions without going to prison. And that is recognized by the Illinois Legislature when they set forth the guidelines that I'm required to follow in passing sentence in this case.

The penalty range in this case is probation up to three years in the Department of Corrections. It's a large range. So the law sets out what I'm required to review and what I am required to consider in passing sentence in this case.

As both Mr. Neal and Mr. Tess have acknowledged, the law states at 730 ILCS 5/5-6 -- or 6-1(a): The Court shall -- and "shall" has a very specific meaning in the law -- impose a sentence of probation or conditional

discharge upon an offender unless having regard to the nature and circumstances of the offense and to the history, character, and condition of the offender, the Court is of the opinion that his imprisonment or periodic imprisonment is necessary for the protection of the public; or, two, probation or conditional discharge would deprecate the seriousness of the offender's conduct and would be inconsistent with the ends of justice.

I cannot find that either of those things have been presented to me sufficiently by the record.

I'm also required to pass sentence in this case based upon the charge that Mr. Mongan has pled guilty to, which is reckless conduct. I cannot decide this case based upon charges that were dismissed or charges that other people think he should have pled to or he should have been charged with. I have only the record before me to present.

And as both parties have stated, I'm required to consider certain factors. Required by the law. I do find that as far as aggravating factors under the statutes, specifically A-1, that the defendant's conduct caused or threatened serious harm. There's obviously no way to -- there's no way to question that. That factor does, in fact, apply.

Also Factor A-7, that the sentence is necessary to deter others from committing the same crime. I do find that applies. It gets a little questionable for me when I'm dealing with a charge that carries with it a reckless mental state as opposed to a knowing or an intentional mental state.

And I do agree with Mr. Tess, in some way all sentences fit under that category, again depending on which side of the table you happen to be sitting on.

I do find that the defense has set forth an accurate statement as to the factors in mitigation that apply that I'm required to consider. That the defendant did not contemplate that his criminal conduct would cause or threaten serious physical harm to another. I don't believe that Mr. Mongan went out that day and did something with a conscious contemplation that what he was doing was a serious risk to other people. Now in hindsight it was.

I do find Factor A-6, the defendant has compensated or will compensate the victims for the damage or injury that was sustained, and we'll talk more about that in just a minute.

I'll find the defendant has no history of prior delinquency or criminal activity or has led a law-abiding

life for a substantial period of time before the commission of the present crime. Our law allows for the concept that a person can commit an offense that is an aberration to his or her proven character over time and have that considered, and I do consider that.

Factor A-8 states that the defendant's criminal conduct was a result of circumstances unlikely to reoccur. I do believe that that's been shown.

Factor A-9, I do believe that the character and attitude of the defendant indicate that he is unlikely to commit another crime.

Factor A-10, I find the defendant is particularly likely to comply with the terms of probation.

And Factor A-11, I find the imprisonment of the defendant would entail excessive hardship to dependents.

Having reviewed all of the evidence, the report, the letters, and all of the statutory factors that I'm required to consider, it is the sentence of this Court that:

Mr. Mongan, you'll be placed on a period of probation for 30 months until December 19th of 2020. Conviction will enter on this felony, and whatever consequences that come with that come with that.

You'll be subject to the general supervision of

the probation department. You will not violate any criminal statute of any jurisdiction. You will report to and personally appear before the probation officers or this Court -- or of this Court, excuse me. You will not possess any firearms or dangerous weapons. You will permit home visits and other probation officer inquiries to discharge their duties. You will not leave the state of Illinois without the consent of the Court or, in an emergency, its probation officers. You will inform the probation office of any change of address or place of employment within seven days of today's date -- or seven days of that change, excuse me.

You will, upon committing a technical violation of the sentencing order, be subject to the administrative sanctions program. I will tell you, Mr. Mongan, that it is my hope, because the probation department really doesn't work for me, that that administrative sanction program would be for only the most minor of violations, that anything above and be- -- I'm going to direct that the probation department forward any report of any violation to the State, the State will certainly have the ability to override the probation department's decisions regarding administrative sanctions and file their own petition should they feel it's necessary.

Mr. Neal has correctly stated, and I will admonish you, Mr. Mongan, that any violation of any terms as I've set forth in this probation order and the State could, in fact, file a petition to revoke this probation. Now, as I've stated, this probation is being put forward based upon the record suggesting that you are of the character and the mind-set that you will be following all of the terms and conditions of the probation.

If, in fact, it's brought back before the Court that you haven't done that, now I've got to take another look at it to determine if, in fact, you're the person that you presented yourself to be today; and if not, all of the sentencing ranges as were available today would be available at that time.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You will refrain from using cannabis or controlled substances unless prescribed by a physician. You will submit to random drug testing at the direction of the probation department. You will commit -- or excuse me -- you will consume no alcohol during the course of this probation. You will submit to random Breathalyzer testing at the direction of the probation department to ensure compliance with that condition.

collection fee. You will attend the Victim Impact Panel as directed by probation. You will cooperate with and satisfactorily complete psychological or substance abuse assessments or treatment as directed by the probation department. You'll execute all releases of information requested by the probation office.

So I'm going to leave it to the probation folks

directed by the probation department, pay the appropriate

You'll submit to DNA testing within 45 days as

So I'm going to leave it to the probation folks to determine if, in fact, they're convinced that you do or don't need any further treatment. If they decide that you do, you do it, all right?

You will perform 300 hours of community service work. That will be performed at a rate of no less than ten hours each month. Now, because of the large amount of public service that we have and the lack of places to do so, our probation department has from time to time allowed people to satisfy their hours by donation of canned goods or blood to various charities. I do not want those options to be utilized as it relates to Mr. Mongan. All of these 300 hours shall be hours actually served by Mr. Mongan.

You will have no contact directly or indirectly during the period of probation with David or Robin

Swaziek. You will not operate a motorized watercraft at any time during your probation.

As to the restitution, I find appropriate for today restitution in the amount of \$8,548 to David and Robin Swaziek as it relates to counseling. \$1,289 to the same folks as it relates to the funeral expenses. For a total restitution number of \$9,837. I believe the remaining of the expenses that are being sought are more appropriate for the civil litigation, and I'll let the civil court take care of that.

You'll pay \$50 a month in probation service fees for a total of \$1,500. Your fines and court costs and restitution will total \$11,908. I want that paid by November 26th at 9:00 o'clock. If you do that, Mr. Mongan, you don't have to come back to court that day. If you don't do that, you have to be here at 9:00 o'clock on November 26th with a ridiculously good reason why you did not get it paid. Do you understand me?

THE COURT: All right. Any questions about the sentence from the State?

MR. NEAL: Nothing, your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Defense?

MR. TESS: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Mongan, you do have the right to appeal the judgment and sentence of this Court. However, prior to taking an appeal, you must file in this court within 30 days of today's date a written motion asking to have the Trial Court reconsider the sentence or have the judgment vacated and for leave to withdraw your plea of guilty setting forth your grounds for doing so in that motion.

If that motion is allowed, the sentence will be modified or the plea of guilty, sentence, and judgment will be vacated and a trial date will be set on the charges to which the plea of guilty was made. Upon the request of the People, any charges which may have been dismissed as part of the plea agreement would be reinstated and would also be set for trial.

You're further advised if you are indigent, a copy of the transcript of these proceedings will be provided to you without cost, and counsel will be appointed to assist you in the preparation of these motions. In any appeal taken from the judgment on your plea of guilty, any issue or claim of error not raised in the motion to reconsider sentence or to vacate the judgment and withdraw your plea of guilty shall be deemed waved on appeal.

Do you understand your appellate rights? 1 Yes, your Honor. 2 THE DEFENDANT: Do you have any questions whatsoever? 3 THE COURT: THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, folks. 5 I'll give you a copy of this order, Mr. Mongan. 6 Your next stop is going to be downstairs in Room 100. 7 You're going to meet with the probation department and 8 you're going to fill out all their paperwork, you're 9 going to meet with everybody they want you to, you're 10 going to follow all of their directions for the next 11 30 months. Do you understand that? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 (End of proceedings.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

STATE OF ILLINOIS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OGLE COUNTY

I, ANGELA M. MILLER, CSR (084-004455), RPR, CRR, CRC, an Official Court Reporter for the Circuit Court of Ogle County, 15th Judicial Circuit of Illinois, reported in machine shorthand the proceedings had in the hearing in the above-entitled cause and transcribed the same by Computer-Aided Transcription, which I hereby certify to be a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings had before Associate Circuit Judge John C. Redington.

AnglaMMiller Official Court Reporter

Dated: This 17th day of July, 2018